

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/709,293	Applicant(s) ALLEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Suchin Parihar	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:

(1) Suchin Parihar.

(2) Frederick W. Gibb III (Reg. # 37,629).

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9/1/2006

Time: 12:00pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

4,6,7,10-13,18 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amending claims 4,6,7,10-13,18 and 20 to provide a practical application and/or a useful/concrete/tangible result to meet the utility requirement of 35 USC 101, which would make claims 4,6,7,10-13,18 and 20 allowable. The applicant agreed with the examiner's suggestion and suggested the amendment implemented by the examiner.

Suchin Parihar
Examiner
571-272-6210